Treatment and Prevention of Periodic Paralysis"

Robert C. Griggs, M.D. Chair, Executive Committee Muscle Study Group Principal Investigator, Clinical Investigation of Neurological Channelopathies (CINCH)

Channelopathies: Evolution of the Concept

 1952 – Hodgkin and Huxley propose channels
1976 – Neher and Sachman – patch clamp demonstration
1991 – Ptacek et al – First mutation in human channel causing periodic paralysis
2009 – Over 100 neurological channelopathies

Channelopathies of the Nervous System







BH

Michael R. Rose Robert C. Griggs Neuromuscular Channelopathies – Hereditary - Examples

- Periodic paralysis (Na+, K+, Cl-, Ca++)
- Myotonias (DM-1/DM-2; Na+, Cl+)
- Episodic ataxias (K+, Ca++)
- Myasthenias 14 types, voltage/ligand gated
- Rippling muscle disease
- Malignant hyperthermia

Neuromuscular Channelopathies -Acquired

- Myasthenias (4 types)
- Rippling muscle disease
- CIDP (? Na+)
- Neuromyotonia/myokymia (K+)
- Autonomic neuropathies
- Stiff person syndrome
- Ataxias
- Other

Specific hypotheses:

(1) Channelopathies must have both a specific molecular lesion (mutation) and intercurrent, triggering factor(s) to manifest symptoms

Specific hypotheses:

(2) Repeated attacks result in progressive neural or muscle injury

Specific hypotheses:

(3) Prevention of attacks by modifying triggering factors will improve symptoms and quality of life and prevent/reverse targettissue injury.

Treatment Trials in Neuromuscular Channelopathies (Currently Recruiting) Dichlorphenamide in the periodic paralyses (HYP-HOP) 14-centers (5 countries): Muscle Study Group Mexilitine in Non-Dystrophic Myotonia - CINCH Study (PI Richard Barohn) 7 Centers (2 countries) Acetazolamide/K+ in Andersen-Tawil Syndrome 3 Centers (2 countries) CINCH study (PI Paul Twydell)

Modified Protocol: Dichlorphenamide vs Placebo in Hypokalemic and Hyperkalemic Periodic Paralysis

- 9 week placebo controlled trial: Attack frequency and severity
- Year-long extension study strength, muscle mass
- Quality of life, side effects

Sites Recruiting Periodic Paralysis Patients for HYP HOP Trial

USA:

- Brigham & Women's Hospital (Boston)
- Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center (New York)
- Mayo Clinic (Rochester, MN)
- Ohio State University (Columbus)
- University of California San Francisco (San Francisco)
- University of Kansas Medical Center (Kansas City)
- University of Rochester School of Medicine (Rochester, NY)
- University of Texas Southwestern (Dallas)
- Johns Hopkins (Baltimore)
- University of Florida (Gainesville)
- Washington University (St. Louis)
- Canada: London Ontario
- UK: London
- France: Paris
- Italy: Milan

Rare Disease Research: The U.S. Regulatory Approval Process

- Gold standard: 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials
- Orphan drugs:
 - Extended exclusivity (patent protection)
 - Tax benefits
 - Longer period for children

Pivotal Studies of Orphan Drugs Approved for Neurological Diseases

Jun Mitsumoto, MPH,¹ E. Ray Dorsey, MD, MBA,² Christopher A. Beck, PhD,³ Karl Kieburtz, MD,² and Robert C. Griggs, MD²

Objective: To identify design elements of clinical trials leading to US Food and Drug Administration approval of drugs for neurological diseases with and without orphan indications.

Methods: We used publicly available information to identify approvals for drugs for neurological diseases with an orphan indication (n = 19) and compared them with recent approvals for drugs for neurological diseases without an orphan indication (n = 20). We identified "pivotal trials" from drug labels and drug approval packages, and assessed them on four elements of clinical trial design: control, blinding, randomization, and size.

Results: All drugs for neurological diseases (100%) approved without an orphan indication included at least two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. In comparison, 32% of drugs with an orphan indication had at least two such trials (p < 0.001) and 74% had at least one (p = 0.02). Thirty-three pivotal trials were conducted for the 19 drugs approved with an orphan indication. Of the 33 trials, 11 (33%) did not use a placebo control, 9 (27%) were not double blind, and 4 (12%) were not randomized. Drugs approved without an orphan indication had more pivotal trials per drug (3.8 vs 1.7 trials; p < 0.001) and a larger mean trial size (506 vs 164 trial participants; p < 0.001).

Interpretation: The US Food and Drug Administration has approved orphan drugs for neurological diseases without randomized, doubled-blind, placebo-controlled pivotal trials. As orphan drug development grows, demand will likely increase for alternative designs for conducting adequate and well-controlled studies to demonstrate drug efficacy.

Ann Neurol 2009;65:000-000

Publication Conclusion:

"Orphan drugs for neurological diseases have been approved by the FDA without randomized, doubled-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trials. As therapeutic development for orphan diseases is increasing, the design of alternative clinical studies will likely become more important." Treatment of Acute Weakness Hypokalemic Periodic Paralysis

- Treatment needed only for severe weakness
- Oral treatment if possible
 - Swallowing impairment is rare
 - Nausea or vomiting can occur
 - Potassium solutions –KCI is the least palatable
- IV treatment: most diluents lower serum K even with large concentrations of K
 - Bolus KCI (5mEq total)
 - Mannitol 5% as diluent

Treatment of Acute Weakness Hyperkalemic Periodic Paralysis

Treatment rarely needed Oral treatment if possible Glucose; other simple sugars Avoid K-containing foods Parenteral (rarely necessary) IV glucose (and insulin?) IV calcium gluconate Ion-exchange resin (Kayexelate) – never needed for periodic paralysis

New Studies Under Development for Periodic Paralysis Treatment

- Carbonic anhydrase—worsened or unresponsive patients
- Sulfonamide-allergic patients (?)
- CAI-inadequate response

Representative Publication (1)

"Pivotal Studies of Orphan Drugs Approved for Neurological Diseases."

Authors: J Mitsumoto (CINCH medical student), ER Dorsey, CA Beck, J Thompson, T Nguyen, K Kieburtz, RC Griggs

Comparing orphan drugs with non-orphan drugs

Other Considerations in Attack Prevention

- Exercise
- Diet
- Stress
- Hormonal changes
- Coincidental medications
 - Insulin
 - Diuretics
 - Beta blockers

Acknowledgements

Investigators: The Muscle Study Group (MSG) and Clinical Investigation of Neurological Channelopathies (CINCH).

Especially: Richard Barohn, Rabi Tawil, Emma Ciafaloni, Barbara Herr, Michael Hanna, Louis Ptacek, Steve Cannon, Anthony Amato, Valeria Sansone, Bertrand Fontaine